



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,686	03/19/2004	Richard J. Morris	1915.17US03	8616
24113	7590	05/21/2007		
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER	
4800 IDS CENTER			SLACK, NAOKO N	
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/805,686 ~~890/007, 487~~

Applicant(s)

MORRIS ET AL.

Examiner

Gay Ann Spahn

Art Unit

3635

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gay Ann Spahn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Larry Alexander.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/2/07 & 5/10/07.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 2 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Gay Ann Spahn  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On May 2, 2007, Examiner Spahn telephoned Mr. Alexander to inform him that her Examiner's Amendment attached to the Supplemental Notice of Allowability mailed April 19, 2007 had amended claims 2 and 3 incorrectly according to reissue rules as deletions had to be by placing brackets around what was being deleted and not by strike-through and the claims had to be amended as if starting from the way the claims appeared in the U.S. Patent No. 6,357,193. She also informed Mr. Alexander that a Supplemental Declaration For Reissue Patent Application To Correct "Errors" Statement (37 CFR 1.175) would be required. Mr. Alexander suggested that he would prepare the amendments to claims 2 and 3 file the Amendment and Supplemental Reissue Declaration in both the applications of the merged reissue and reexamination. On May 10, 2007, Mr. Alexander telephoned Examiner Spahn to let her know that he was working on getting the signature of an inventor in Colorado on the Supplemental Reissue Declaration and that he hoped to file the Amendment and Supplemental Reissue Declaration on Monday, May 14, 2007.